## REMARKS

In the Examiner's reasons for allowance, the Examiner states (portions omitted for brevity):

> the specific limitation of the curved portion of the mounting arm abutting the display, when the display is in a horizontal position, in combination with the remaining elements or steps, is not taught or disclosed in the prior art.

Applicant agrees that the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited limitations. The Examiner, however, did not accurately cite limitations from all of the claims, and only cited portions of some of the claims. Further, the recited limitations do not appear as stated in all of the independent claims. Applicant submits that no words or terms should be "read into" a claim based on the statements of the Examiner. Instead, the present claims are allowable for at least the reason that the art of record does not teach or suggest all of the limitations of the claims as actually recited in the claims themselves. Applicant therefore respectfully asserts that the scope of each claim as allowed is to be determined from the actual claim language, including all equivalents.

Respectfully submitted,

/Philip S. Lyren #40,709/

Philip S. Lyren Reg. No. 40,709

Ph: 832-236-5529